



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,436	09/30/2003	Richard E. Anderson	BUR920030039US1	2435
29625	7590	06/17/2005	EXAMINER	
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP 1750 TYSONS BLVD. SUITE 1800 MCLEAN, VA 22102-4215			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/605,436	ANDERSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michael P. Nghiem	2863	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-14 and 16-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,13,14,24 and 25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-12 and 16-23 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The Amendment filed on March 29, 2005 has been acknowledged.

### ***Withdrawal of Allowability***

The allowability of cancelled claims 2 and 15 (now incorporated in claims 1, 14, and 25) is withdrawn in view of the new grounds of rejection as follow.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 13, 14, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boyer et al. (US 5,410,546).

Regarding claims 1, 14, and 25, Boyer et al. discloses a method and apparatus (Fig. 1) of generating cyclic redundancy checks (CRCs) for a message with N data blocks (column 6, lines 47-50), comprising:

Art Unit: 2863

- calculating a partial CRC for an out of order data block and storing the result (column 6, lines 50-53, 59-60);
- generating a CRC remainder multiplier associated with the out of order data block and storing the result (column 9, lines 40-44, the adjustment value is deemed to be stored somewhere);
- repeating the calculating and generating steps until all N data blocks for the message are received (the steps are deemed to be repeated for CRC computations of blocks, column 5, lines 22-25);
- combining the results of the calculating step and the generating step (Fig. 3, column 9, lines 45-54);
- calculating a CRC for an in order data block using any previously computed in order CRC (Abstract, lines 20-24 and 29-33).

Regarding claims 13 and 24, Boyer et al. discloses that the N data blocks contain at least one data block of the N data blocks that is one of a different length and a same length (Abstract, lines 1-5).

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 3-12 and 16-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### ***Reasons For Allowance***

The combination or method as claimed initializing a first CRC engine with a partial CRC remainder and a second CRC engine with the CRC remainder multiplier, the partial CRC remainder and the CRC remainder multiplier being a result of a prior partial CRC computation (claims 8, 17) or calculating the partial CRC according to  $\text{crc\_b}[k] = \text{CRC}(\text{Bk})$ , where  $\text{crc\_b}[k]$  is the partial CRC for data block k and Bk is the data block bit pattern of data block k (claims 10, 21) or generating the remainder multiplier according to  $\text{crc\_2}[k] = \text{CRC}(2\text{Sk})$ , where  $\text{crc\_2}[k]$  is the remainder multiplier for data block k, and Sk is the bit length of data block k (claims 11, 22) or a component to produce a final CRC by combining the output from the component to combine results of the calculated partial CRC and the generator multiplier with the output from the component to calculate a CRC for an in order data block using an immediately previously calculated in order CRC, if available (claim 19) is not disclosed, suggested, or made obvious by the prior art of record.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P Nghiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



**MICHAEL NGHIEM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Michael Nghiem

June 13, 2004